arXiv:1407.1590v2 [math.AC] 6 Aug 2015

GOOD IDEALS AND p_g-IDEALS IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL NORMAL SINGULARITIES

TOMOHIRO OKUMA, KEI-ICHI WATANABE, AND KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notion of p_g-ideals and p_g-cycles, which inherits nice properties of integrally closed ideals on rational singularities. As an application, we prove an existence of good ideals for two-dimensional Gorenstein normal local rings. Moreover, we classify all Ulrich ideals for two-dimensional simple elliptic singularities.

1. Introduction

In a two-dimensional rational singularity, Lipman showed in [14] that every integrally closed ideal is "stable" in the sense that $I^2 = IQ$ holds for every minimal reduction Q of I. He also shows that if I, J are integrally closed ideals, then the product IJ is also integrally closed. (Later, Cutkosky [3] showed that in a two-dimensional normal local ring A, if I^2 is integrally closed for every integrally closed ideal I, then A is a rational singularity.) These facts play very important role to study ideal theory on a two-dimensional rational singularity.

On the other hand, as far as the authors know, almost nothing was done concerning ideal theory of non-rational singularities.

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a normal local ring of dimension 2 and $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a resolution of singularity of A. Then $p_g(A) = \ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is an important invariant of A (here we denote by $\ell_A(M)$ the length of an A module M with finite length) and called the "geometric genus" of A. A rational singularity is characterized by $p_g(A) = 0$ and A is a "minimally elliptic singularity" if A is Gorenstein and $p_g(A) = 1$.

Now, take an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I. Then I has a resolution $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ with $I\mathcal{O}_X$ invertible. In this case, $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ for some "anti-nef" cycle Z and we denote $I = I_Z$. The important fact is that $\ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)))$ plays an important role for the property of I_Z. We can show that $\ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))) \leq p_g(A)$ for every anti-nef cycle Z such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component. We call Z a p_g-cycle and I_Z a p_g-ideal if we have $\ell_A(H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))) = p_g(A)$.

A surprising fact is that the class of p_g-ideal inherits nice properties of integrally closed ideals of rational singularities (in a rational singularity, every integrally closed ideal is a p_g-ideal by our definition). Namely, if I_Z is a p_g-ideal, then I_Z is stable and if Z, Z' are p_g-cycles, then $I_Z I_{Z'}$ is integrally closed and also a p_g-ideal. The idea of this paper is to develop ideal theory for normal two-dimensional local ring

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A35; Secondary 14B05, 14J17.

Key words and phrases. good ideal, Ulrich ideal, p_g-cycle, Gorenstein ring.

This work was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) Grant Numbers 23540068, 23540059, 25400050.

of given p_g and to investigate what difference causes the difference of p_g to the ideal theory of the ring.

We apply the notion of p_g-ideals to show existence of good ideals on any two-dimensional normal Gorenstein ring. The notion of good ideals was defined by S. Goto and S. Iai in [5].

Definition 1.1 (Goto–Iai–Watanabe [5])**.** Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of A and Q a minimal reduction of I. We say I is a good ideal if it satisfies the following conditions:

(1) $I^2 = IQ$.
(2) $Q : I = I$.

Let us explain the organization of the paper. In this paper, the ring is a two-dimensional normal local ring containing an algebraically closed field. In Section 2, we prepare the notions and terminologies which we need later (e.g. minimally elliptic singularity, good ideals, Ulrich ideals and so on). Furthermore, we give fundamental tools in this paper: Propositions 2.5, 2.6 and Vanishing theorem (Theorem 2.7), Kato's Riemann-Roch Theorem (Theorem 2.8).

In Section 3, we introduce the notion of p_g-cycles and p_g-ideals; see Theorem 3.1, Definition 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. Note that every anti-nef cycle in a rational singularity is a p_g-cycle in our sense. Moreover, p_g-cycles enjoy nice properties: e.g. the sum of p_g-cycles is always a p_g-cycle (see Theorem 3.5).

In Section 4, we prove an existence of p_g-ideals for any two-dimensional normal local ring. As an application, we prove the existence of good ideals as the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 1.2 (See Theorem 4.1)**.** *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a two-dimensional normal local ring. Then*:

(1) *There exists a resolution on which p_g-cycles exist.*
(2) *If A is non-regular Gorenstein, then it has a good ideal.*

In Section 5, we prove that an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I of a two-dimensional rational singularity A is a good ideal if and only if it is an integrally closed ideal represented on the minimal resolution, which is a generalization of [5, Theorem 7.8] for non-Gorenstein case.

In Section 6, we evaluate the number of minimal generators of integrally closed ideals which is represented by some anti-nef cycle in terms of intersection numbers of related cycles.

In Section 7, we investigate Ulrich ideals (3-generated good ideals) of minimally elliptic singularities. For instance, we prove that there exist no Ulrich ideals for any minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e \geq 5$; see Theorem 7.7. Moreover, we classify all Ulrich ideals for simple elliptic singularities (Theorem 7.10).

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let A be an excellent normal local ring of dimension 2 with the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ such that A contains an algebraically closed field $k \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$ unless otherwise specified. Let $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a resolution of singularities with exceptional divisor $E = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$.

2.1. **Cycle.** A divisor supported in E is called a *cycle*. Let $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} E_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components of E. A divisor D is said to be *nef* if the intersection numbers DE_i are nonnegative for all E_i; D is said to be *anti-nef* if $-D$ is nef. If $DE_i = 0$ for all E_i, then we say that D is numerically trivial and write $D \equiv 0$. Since the intersection matrix (E_iE_j) is negative definite, if a cycle $Z \neq 0$ is anti-nef, then $Z \geq E$. The resolution $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is said to be *minimal* if X contains no (-1)-curves C (i.e., $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $C^2 = -1$).

2.2. **Reduction, Multiplicity.** Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of A. Then the Hilbert function $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1})$ is a polynomial for sufficiently large n. That is, there exists a polynomial $P_I(n)$ of the form

$$e_0(I)\binom{n+2}{2} - e_1(I)\binom{n+1}{1} + e_2(I)$$

such that $\ell_A(A/I^{n+1}) = P_I(n)$ for $n \gg 0$. Then $e_0(I)$, $e_1(I)$ and $e_2(I)$ are integers and $e_0(I)$ is called the *multiplicity* of I. On the other hand, we can take a parameter ideal $Q = (a, b)$ so that $I^{r+1} = QI^r$ for some integer $r \geq 0$. Such an ideal Q is called a *minimal reduction* of I. Then we have $e_0(I) = e_0(Q) = \ell_A(A/Q)$.

2.3. **Integrally closed ideal.** Let $\overline{I}$ denote the *integral closure* of I, that is, $\overline{I}$ is an ideal which consists of all solutions z for some equation with coefficients $c_i \in I^i$: $Z^n + c_1Z^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1}Z + c_n = 0$. Then $I \subseteq \overline{I} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

For any cycle Z on X, we write

$$I_Z = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)). \tag{2.1}$$

Since $A = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X)$, I_Z is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary integrally closed ideal if $Z > 0$. An $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I is said to be *represented on* X if the ideal sheaf $I\mathcal{O}_X$ is invertible and $I = H^0(I\mathcal{O}_X)$. If I is represented on X, there exists an anti-nef cycle Z such that $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$; I is also said to be *represented by* Z. Note that I is represented on some resolution if and only if it is integrally closed (cf. [14]).

Note that if $I = I_Z$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated, then $e_0(I) = -Z^2$ and $\overline{I^n} = I_{nZ}$.

2.4. **Geometric genus, Singularity.** When the cohomology group $H^i(\mathcal{F})$ is an A-module, we denote by $h^i(\mathcal{F})$ the length $\ell_A(H^i(\mathcal{F}))$. It is known that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is independent of the choice of the resolution. The invariant $p_g(A) := h^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is called the *geometric genus* of A.

Definition 2.1 (**Rational singularity, Elliptic singularity**)**.** A ring A is said to be *a rational singularity* (resp. *a minimally elliptic singularity*) if $p_g(A) = 0$ (resp. A is Gorenstein and $p_g(A) = 1$).

Assume that A is a minimally elliptic singularity, and let Z_f be the fundamental cycle. Then $e = -Z_f^2$ is called the *degree* of A. It is known that $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = \max\{2, e\}$, $\ell_A(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) = \max\{e, 3\}$ and that A is a complete intersection if and only if $e \leq 4$ (e.g. Laufer [13]).

A ring A is said to be a *simple elliptic singularity of degree* e if the exceptional set E_0 of the minimal resolution of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is a nonsingular elliptic curve with $E_0^2 = -e$.

Every toric singularity and quotient singularity are rational singularities. For instance, $k[[x,y,z]]/(x^a+y^b+z^c)$ is rational if and only if $1/a+1/b+1/c>1$. Note that any simple elliptic singularity is a minimally elliptic singularity.

Example 2.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero or $p \geq 5$.

(1) A hypersurface $k[[x,y,z]]/(x^2+y^3+z^6)$ is a simple elliptic singularity of degree 1.
(2) A hypersurface $k[[x,y,z]]/(x^2+y^4+z^4)$ is a simple elliptic singularity of degree 2.
(3) A hypersurface $k[[x,y,z]]/(x^3+y^3+z^3)$ is a simple elliptic singularity of degree 3.
(4) A complete intersection ring $k[[x,y,z,w]]/(y^2-xz, w^2-yz-x^2)$ is a simple elliptic singularity of degree 4.

2.5. **Good ideal, Ulrich ideal.** In this subsection, let A be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of any dimension d.

Definition 2.3 (**Good ideal, Ulrich ideal**)**.** Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Then:

(1) I is called *a stable ideal* if $I^2 = QI$ for some minimal reduction Q of I.
(2) I is called *a good ideal* if I is stable and $Q\colon I = I$ for some minimal reduction Q of I.
(3) I is called *an Ulrich ideal* if I is stable and I/I^2 is a free A/I-module.

Now assume that I is stable. Then $I \subset Q : I$ and $I^2 \subset Q$ for any minimal reduction Q of I. By the characterization of core of ideals, a goodness of I is equivalent to the condition $\operatorname{core}(I) = I^2$ (see [2, Example 3.1]). Recall that $\operatorname{core}(I)$ is the intersection of all minimal reductions of I.

If we assume that A is a Gorenstein ring, then by duality theorem, we have

$$\ell_A(A/I) = \ell_A(Q : I/Q).$$

Hence in this case, under the condition $I^2 = QI$, I is a good ideal if and only if $2 \cdot \ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I)$ (see [5]). Moreover, I is an Ulrich ideal if and only if I is a good ideal with $\mu_A(I) = d+1$, where $\mu_A(I)$ denotes the cardinality of a minimal set of generators of I (see [6]). So, in this case, Ulrich ideals are typical examples of good ideals.

We note a simple but useful lemma for good ideals.

Lemma 2.4. *Let I' be an ideal containing I and integral over I and assume that $I'^2 = QI'$ holds. Then if I is a good ideal, then $I = I'$. In particular, if A is a two-dimensional rational singularity and I is a good ideal of A, then I is integrally closed.*

Proof. Since $I' \subset Q : I'$, we have $I' \subset Q : I' \subset Q : I = I$. Hence $I = I'$. □

2.6. **Fundamental short exact sequences.** We say that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ *has no fixed component* if $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \neq H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-E_i))$ for every $E_i \subset E$, i.e., the base locus of the linear system $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ does not contain any component of E.

Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component and $h \in I_Z$ a general element. Then we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\times h} \mathcal{O}_Z(-Z) \to \mathcal{C} \to 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is supported on the strict transform of the curve $\operatorname{Spec} A/(h)$. Note that the base points of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ is contained in $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a two-dimensional normal local ring as above. Let Z, Z' be anti-nef cycles on some resolution $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed components. Then we have*

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z')).$$

Proof. Let h be a general element of I_Z. Then the short exact sequence (2.2) implies that

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z')) \to H^1(\mathcal{C}\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) = 0$$

since $\mathcal{C}$ is a coherent sheaf on an affine space. □

Let Z_1, Z_2 be anti-nef cycles on the resolution $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ so that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_2)$ are generated. Take general elements $f_i \in I_{Z_i}$ for each $i = 1, 2$, so that there exists the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{(f_1,f_2)} \mathcal{O}_X(-Z_1)\oplus\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_2) \xrightarrow{\binom{-f_2}{f_1}} \mathcal{O}_X(-Z_1-Z_2) \to 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Taking a cohomology yields

$$0 \to A \to I_{Z_1}\oplus I_{Z_2} \xrightarrow{\binom{-f_2}{f_1}} I_{Z_1+Z_2} \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Hence we have the following.

Proposition 2.6. *Under the notation as above, if we put*

$$\varepsilon(Z_1,Z_2) := \varepsilon(I_{Z_1},I_{Z_2}) := \ell_A(I_{Z_1+Z_2}/f_1I_{Z_2}+f_2I_{Z_1}), \tag{2.4}$$

then we have

(1) $0 \leq \varepsilon(Z_1,Z_2) \leq p_g(A)$.
(2) $\varepsilon(Z_1,Z_2) = p_g(A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_1)) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_2)) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_1-Z_2))$.

In particular, $\varepsilon(Z_1,Z_2)$ is independent on the choice of general elements $f_1 \in I_1$,$f_2 \in I_2$.

If $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z$, then $Q = (f_1, f_2)$ is a minimal reduction of $I = I_1 = I_2$ and $\varepsilon(Z,Z) = \varepsilon(I,I) = \ell_A(\overline{I^2}/QI)$.

2.7. **Canonical divisor, Vanishing theorem.** Let K_X denote the canonical divisor on X. Since the intersection matrix (E_iE_j) is negative-definite, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Z_{K_X} supported in E such that $K_X + Z_{K_X} \equiv 0$. It is known that: $Z_{K_X} \geq 0$ if X is the minimal resolution; $Z_{K_X} = 0$ if and only if A is rational Gorenstein and X is the minimal resolution; K_X is linearly equivalent to $-Z_{K_X}$ if and only if A is Gorenstein.

The following theorem is a generalization of Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem in two dimensional case.

Theorem 2.7 (Laufer [12, Theorem 3.2], cf.[18, Ch. 4, Exe.15])**.** *For any nef divisor D on X, we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)) = 0$.*

2.8. **Riemann-Roch formula.** Let us recall Kato's Riemann-Roch formula which is very useful in order to calculate colength. For any invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X, we define $\chi(\mathcal{L})$ by

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \ell_A\left(H^0(X \setminus E, \mathcal{L})/H^0(X, \mathcal{L})\right) + h^1(\mathcal{L}).$$

Note that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = p_g(A)$ since A is normal.

Theorem 2.8 (**Kato's Riemann-Roch formula**[11])**.** *For a cycle $Z > 0$, we have*

$$\chi(\mathcal{L}(-Z)) - \chi(\mathcal{L}) = -\frac{Z^2 + K_X Z}{2} + \mathcal{L}Z.$$

In particular,

$$\ell_A(A/I_Z) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = -\frac{Z^2 + K_X Z}{2} + p_g(A).$$

3. p_g-CYCLES AND p_g-IDEALS

The main aim of this section is to introduce the notion of p_g-cycles and p_g-ideals. We first show the following theorem, which is the key result in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $Z > 0$ be a cycle. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component. Then we have the following.*

(1) $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \leq p_g(A)$.
(2) *If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$, then $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated (by global sections).*

Proof. We use the exact sequence (2.2).
(1) It follows from Proposition 2.5 because $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = p_g(A)$.
(2) If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$, then the restriction $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z(-Z)) \to H^0(\mathcal{C})$ is surjective. This implies that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ has no base points. □

Definition 3.2 (**p_g-cycle, p_g-ideal**)**.** A cycle $Z > 0$ is called a *p_g-cycle* if $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$. An $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal I is called a *p_g-ideal* if I is represented by a p_g-cycle on some resolution. The definition of p_g-ideal is independent of the representation of the ideal by Lemma 3.4.

Example 3.3. If A is rational, then every anti-nef cycle is a p_g-cycle. In fact, Lipman [14] proved that if A is rational and $Z > 0$ is an anti-nef cycle on X, then $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated and $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 0$.

A birational morphism $\phi\colon Y \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is called a *partial resolution* if Y is normal and ϕ induces an isomorphism $Y \setminus \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \operatorname{Spec} A \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal, and let $f_1\colon X_1 \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $f_2\colon X_2 \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ be partial resolutions with only rational singularities. Assume that I is represented by a cycle Z_i on X_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_1}(-Z_1)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-Z_2))$.*

Proof. Take a resolution $f_3\colon X_3 \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ which factors through f_1 and f_2 as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_3 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & X_2 \\ {\scriptstyle \phi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_2} \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \operatorname{Spec} A \end{array}$$

Then ϕ_i are resolution of singularities of X_i, and $\phi_1^* Z_1 = \phi_2^* Z_2$ because they are determined by the invertible sheaf $I\mathcal{O}_{X_3}$. Let $Z_3 = \phi_1^* Z_1$. From the Leray spectral sequence, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^1(\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3)) \to H^0(R^1\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3)).$$

By projection formula, $R^j\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3) = \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(-Z_i) \otimes R^j\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}$. Since X_i has only rational singularities, we have $R^1\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3) = 0$ and $\phi_{i*}\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3) = \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(-Z_i)$. Thus we obtain that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_i}(-Z_i)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X_3}(-Z_3))$ for $i = 1, 2$. □

Any p_g-ideal is an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal by definition. Indeed, all powers of p_g-ideals is p_g-ideals and thus integrally closed.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that Z is a p_g-cycle on the resolution X. Then for any cycle Z' on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')$ is generated, $\varepsilon(Z, Z') = 0$. In particular, Z' is a p_g-cycle if and only if so is $Z + Z'$.*

When this is the case, if $f \in I_Z$, $f' \in I_{Z'}$ are general elements, then

$$I_{Z+Z'} = fI_{Z'} + f'I_Z.$$

Proof. Consider

$$\varepsilon(Z, Z') = p_g(A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z - Z')).$$

Assume Z is a p_g-cycle. Then $\varepsilon(Z, Z') = -h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z - Z')) \leq 0$ by Lemma 2.5. On the other hand, as $\varepsilon(Z, Z') \geq 0$, we obtain that $\varepsilon(Z, Z') = 0$, that is, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z - Z'))$. Hence Z' is a p_g-cycle if and only if $Z + Z'$ is a p_g-cycle. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let Z be a p_g-cycle on X and Q a minimal reduction of $I := I_Z$. Then I^n is integrally closed for all $n \geq 1$, $I^2 = IQ$, and $I \subset Q : I$.*

Proof. We can apply the previous theorem as $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z$. □

Remark 3.7. In our upcoming paper, we will prove that for an $\mathfrak{m}$ primary ideal I in a two-dimensional normal local ring A, the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay if and only if I is a p_g-ideal.

In the rest of this section, we give a characterization of p_g-cycles.

For any cycle $D > 0$ on X, the restriction $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_D$ implies the surjection $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_D)$. Thus $h^1(\mathcal{O}_D) \leq p_g(A)$.

Theorem 3.8 (Reid [18, §4.8])**.** *Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$. There exists a smallest cycle $C_X > 0$ on X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_X}) = p_g(A)$. If A is Gorenstein and f is minimal, then $C_X = Z_{K_X}$.*

The cycle C_X is called the *cohomological cycle* on X.

Definition 3.9. For any cycle D on X, let $D^\perp = \sum_{DE_i=0} E_i$.

Proposition 3.10. *Assume that $p_g(A) > 0$. Let $Z > 0$ be a cycle such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ has no fixed component. Then Z is a p_g-cycle if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{C_X}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_X}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{O}_{C_X}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_X}$, then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_X}) = p_g(A)$. By Theorem 3.1, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated.

Conversely, assume that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-mZ)) = p_g(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(-mZ)$ is generated for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by Theorem 3.5. . If $Z^\perp = 0$, then it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-mZ)) = 0$ for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$; it contradicts that $p_g(A) > 0$. Let $D > 0$ be a cycle supported on $Z^\perp$ and $DE_i < 0$ for all $E_i \leq Z^\perp$. There exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(mZ + D)E_i < 0$ for every $E_i \subset E$. By Theorem 2.7 again, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nmZ - nD)) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p_g(A) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-nmZ)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{nD}(-nmZ))$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated and $Z \equiv 0$ on D, we have $\mathcal{O}_{nD}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{nD}$. It follows that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{nD}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{nD}(-nmZ)) = p_g(A)$. By the definition of C_X, we have $nD \geq C_X$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{C_X}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_X}$. □

It follows from Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.10 that if A is Gorenstein, $p_g(A) > 0$, and $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is minimal, then there exist no p_g-cycles on X. Therefore, in general, p_g-ideals are represented on non-minimal resolutions. In the next proposition, we discuss the minimality of representation.

Proposition 3.11. *Let I be a p_g-ideal represented by a cycle Z on X. Then there exist the minimum $X_1 \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ of the resolutions on which I is represented and a natural morphism $X \to X_1$. We call X_1 the* minimal resolution with respect to I. *The resolution X is the minimum with respect to I if and only if $ZC < 0$ for every (-1)-curve C on X.*

Proof. Let $X_0 \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be a partial resolution obtained by normalizing the blowing-up by the ideal I. Since $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$, X_0 is also obtained by contracting all curves $E_i \subset E$ with $ZE_i = 0$; let $\psi\colon X \to X_0$ denote the contraction. If I is represented on a resolution $X' \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, then $I\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is invertible and thus there exists a unique morphism $X' \to X_0$ by universal property of blowing-ups. Hence the minimal resolution with respect to I is obtained as the minimal resolution of singularities of X_0.

Let C be a (-1)-curve on X with $ZC = 0$ and let $X \to X'$ be the contraction of C. Then $X' \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is a resolution, and I is represented on X' since we have a morphism $X' \to X_0$. Conversely assume that the natural morphism $\psi_1\colon X \to X_1$ to the minimal resolution X_1 with respect to I is not trivial. Then the exceptional set of ψ_1 contains a (-1)-curve C, and the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is trivial on C, since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z) = I\mathcal{O}_X = \psi_1^* I\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$. □

4. Existence of good ideals in two-dimensional normal Gorenstein singularities

The aim of this section is to prove the following, which is the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a two-dimensional normal local ring. Then*:

1. *There exists a resolution on which p_g-cycles exist.*
2. *If A is non-regular Gorenstein, then it has a good ideal.*

This theorem follows from Propositions 4.2, 4.5 below. We use the notation of the preceding sections.

Proposition 4.2. *Assume that A is Gorenstein. Let $Z > 0$ be a p_g-cycle on X. Then $I := I_Z$ is a good ideal if and only if $K_X Z = 0$.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.8, we have $2 \cdot \ell_A(A/I) = -Z^2 - K_X Z$. As noted in subsection 2.5, I is good if and only if $I^2 = IQ$ and $2 \cdot \ell_A(A/I) = e_0(I)$ for some minimal reduction Q of I. However the condition $I^2 = IQ$ is always satisfied for p_g-ideals by Corollary 3.6. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated, $e_0(I) = -Z^2$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $C > 0$ is a cycle on X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = p_g(A)$. Let $b\colon Y \to X$ be the blowing-up of a finite subset $B \subset \mathrm{Supp}(C)$. Let $F = b^{-1}(B)$ and $\widetilde{C} = b^*C - F$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}) = p_g(A)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}) \geq p_g(A)$. We have that $R^1 b_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-b^*C) = 0$ and $H^i(\mathcal{O}_F(-b^*C + F)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, since $\mathcal{O}_F(-b^*C) \cong \mathcal{O}_F$ and F is the sum of (-1)-curves. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_F(-b^*C + F) \to \mathcal{O}_{b^*C} \to \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \to 0,$$

we obtain that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{b^*C}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}})$. On the other hand, it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to b_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-b^*C) \to b_*\mathcal{O}_Y \to b_*\mathcal{O}_{b^*C} \to 0$$

that $b_*\mathcal{O}_{b^*C} = \mathcal{O}_C$, since $b_*\mathcal{O}_Y(-b^*C) = \mathcal{O}_X(-C)$ and $b_*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$. Therefore,

$$p_g(A) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = h^1(b_*\mathcal{O}_{b^*C}) \leq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{b^*C}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}}).$$ □

Remark 4.4. In the situation above, if $C' \subset Y$ denote the strict transform of C, the equality $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C'}) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C)$ does not hold in general. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^7)$ and X the minimal good resolution, i.e., E is simple normal crossing and any (-1)-curve intersects at least other three exceptional curves. Then $E = E_0 + \cdots + E_3$ is star-shaped, where E_0 denotes the central curve, and $Z_{K_X} = E_0 + E$. If $b\colon Y \to X$ is the blowing-up of a point of $E_0 \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3)$, then the strict transform of E contracts to a rational singularity. If $C = Z_{K_X}$, then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1$ but $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C'}) = 0$.

Proposition 4.5. *There exist a resolution $g\colon Y \to \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ and a p_g-cycle Z on Y. Furthermore, such a resolution can be obtained from X by blowing-ups of smooth points of the exceptional set. If Z_{K_X} is a cycle (i.e., all the coefficients are integers) and $Z_{K_X} > 0$, then Z can be taken as a p_g-cycle satisfying $ZK_Y = 0$.*

Proof. Since the intersection matrix is negative definite, there exists an anti-nef cycle $W > 0$ such that for any E_i,

$$-WE_j \geq \max\{K_X E_j, (K_X + E_i)E_j, 2 \cdot g(E_j)\}$$

for every $E_j \subset E$. Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-W - E_i) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-W) \to \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-W) \to 0.$$

Since $-W - (K_X + E_i)$ is nef, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-W - E_i)) = 0$. Therefore the map

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-W)) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-W))$$

is surjective. If $\mathcal{O}_X(-W)$ has a base point $p \in E_i$, then p should also be a base point of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-W))$. On the other hand, since $\deg \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-W) \geq 2g(E_i)$, the

linear system $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-W))$ has no base points. Thus we obtain that $\mathcal{O}_X(-W)$ is generated. Since $-W - K_X$ is nef, we have $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-W)) = 0$ from Theorem 2.7. Hence the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-W) \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_W \to 0$$

implies $h^1(\mathcal{O}_W) = p_g(A)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-W)$ is generated, there exists a function $h \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-W))$ such that $\mathrm{div}(h) = W + H_0$, where H_0 is a reduced divisor including no component of E, and that $E + H_0$ is normal crossing at $E \cap H_0$. Let $C_0 > 0$ be a cycle on X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_0}) = p_g(A)$; at least the cycle W satisfies this property (but $\mathcal{O}_X(-C_0)$ need not be generated). Let $Y_0 = X$ and $B_0 = \mathrm{Supp}(C_0) \cap H_0$. For $i \geq 0$, if $B_i \neq \emptyset$, take the blowing-up $b_{i+1}\colon Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$ of B_i, and let H_{i+1} be the strict transform of H_i by b_{i+1}, $C_{i+1} = b_{i+1}^* C_i - F_{i+1}$, where $F_{i+1} = b_{i+1}^{-1}(B_i)$, and $B_{i+1} = \mathrm{Supp}(C_{i+1}) \cap H_{i+1}$. Note that there exists n such that $B_n = \emptyset$; in fact, such n is not more than the maximal coefficient of C_0. If $B_n = \emptyset$, let $Y = Y_n$ and $Z = \mathrm{div}_Y(h) - H_n$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-\mathrm{div}_Y(h)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_n}$. By Lemma 4.3, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-Z)) = p_g(A)$. From the surjection $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-Z)) \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-Z))$ and Theorem 3.1, we obtain that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Y(-Z)) = p_g(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_Y(-Z)$ is generated.

If Z_{K_X} is a cycle and $Z_{K_X} > 0$, then we can take $C_0 = Z_{K_X}$. In this case we obtain that $C_n = Z_{K_Y}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{C_n}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_n}$, we obtain that $K_Y Z = 0$. □

Let us explain the procedure of Proposition 4.5 by an example.

Example 4.6. Let us consider a cone singularity and check the key roles in the proof of Proposition 4.5. Let C be a nonsingular curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and put

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(nK_C)).$$

By Pinkham's formula [17], we have $p_g(A) = \sum_{n \geq 0} h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(nK_C)) = g + 1$. Let $f\colon X \to \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be the minimal resolution. Then $E \cong C$, $\mathcal{O}_E(-E) \cong \mathcal{O}_E(K_E)$, and $K_X = -2E$. It follows that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-2E)) = 0$ by Theorem 2.7. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-2E) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-E) \to \mathcal{O}_E(-E) \to 0,$$

we see that $\mathcal{O}_X(-E)$ is generated. Thus we can take $W = kE$ $(k \geq 1)$ and $C_0 = Z_{K_X} = 2E$. Then B_0 and B_1 consists of $-WE = k(2g-2)$ points and $B_2 = \emptyset$. Hence we have $Y = Y_2$. In this case, $-Z^2 = H_n Z = k(k+2)(2g-2)$.

The case $g = 2$ can be realized by $A = k[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^6 + z^6)$. This is graded by $\deg x = 3$, $\deg y = \deg z = 1$. If $W = E$ $(k = 1)$ and $h = y \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-W))$, then $I_Z = (x, y, z^3)$ and I_Z is a good ideal with multiplicity 6; this is also an Ulrich ideal (see Section 7). Let $W = 2E$ $(k = 2)$ and $h = yz \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-W))$. Then $I := I_Z$ is a homogeneous ideal and $I = (yz, y^4, z^4, xy, xz)$ is a good ideal with multiplicity 16.

5. Good ideals for non-Gorenstein rational singularities

In this section, we characterize good ideals for rational singularities, which gives a generalization of [5, Section 7].

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a two-dimensional rational singularity. Let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of A. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *I is a good ideal, that is, $I^2 = QI$ and $I = Q\colon I$ for some minimal reduction Q of I.*
(2) *I is an integrally closed ideal that is represented on the minimal resolution.*

Now let I be a good ideal in a rational singularity $(A, \mathfrak{m})$. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that I is integrally closed and thus I is represented by some anti-nef cycle Z on some resolution of singularities $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$. Then Z is a p_g-cycle on X; see Example 3.3. Before proving that X is minimal, we study properties of p_g-cycle for any normal local ring.

We first show that any integrally closed ideal that is represented on non-minimal resolution is *not* good. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $E_1 \leq E$ be a (-1)-curve. Then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(E_1)) = p_g(A)$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X(E_1) \to \mathcal{O}_{E_1}(E_1) \to 0.$$

Since $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{E_1}(E_1)) = H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(E_1))$. □

The implication (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (2) in Theorem 5.1 follows from the following proposition and Lemma 2.4.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that Z is a p_g-cycle on X and there exists a (-1)-curve E_1 such that $ZE_1 = -a < 0$. Let $\phi\colon X \to X'$ be the blowing-down of E_1 and $Z' = Z - aE_1$. Consider the following conditions*:

(1) *Z' is a p_g-cycle on X;*
(2) *$\phi_* Z$ is a p_g-cycle on X';*
(3) *$I := I_Z \subsetneqq I_{Z'}$.*

We have the implication: (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3)*; if $a = 1$,* (3) *implies* (1)*. If the condition* (1) *is satisfied, then $I_{Z'} \subset Q : I$; in particular, I is not good. If $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is rational, then all conditions are satisfied.*

Proof. Note that $Z' = \phi^*\phi_* Z$ and $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_X(-Z') = \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\phi_* Z)$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')$ is generated if and only if so is $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\phi_* Z)$. From the spectral sequence, we have that

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-\phi_* Z)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')).$$

Therefore the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z') \to \mathcal{O}_{aE_1}(-Z') = \mathcal{O}_{aE_1} \to 0.$$

If (1) is satisfied, then the natural homomorphism $\alpha\colon H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_{aE_1})$ is surjective, and (3) holds. If $a = 1$, the following three conditions are equivalent:

- $I \subsetneqq I_{Z'}$;
- α is surjective;
- $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z'))$.

Since the non-triviality of α implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')$ has no fixed components in E, (3) implies (1).

Assume that Z' is a p_g-cycle on X. Then $Z+Z'$ is also a p_g-cycle on X by Theorem 3.5. The following sequence is obtained from (2.3).

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(E_1) \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z')^2 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z') \to 0.$$

By Lemma 5.2, $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z')^2) \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z-Z'))$ is surjective. Thus $\overline{II_{Z'}} = QI_{Z'}$. This implies $I_{Z'} \subset Q : I$.

If $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ is rational, then Z' is a p_g-cycle from Example 3.3. □

Example 5.4. Assume that $A = k[[x,y,z]]/(x^2+y^3+z^7)$ and X' is the minimal good resolution. Then the exceptional set $E' = E'_0 + \cdots + E'_3$ is star-shaped and all E_i are rational curves. Suppose that E'_0 is the central curve and $(-E'^2_0, \ldots, -E'^2_3) = (1,2,3,7)$. Then the canonical cycle on X' is $Z_{K_{X'}} = E'_0 + E'$. Let Z_0 be the fundamental cycle on X'. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(-Z_0)$ has no fixed components in E', and has a base point $p \in E'_3 \setminus E'_0$. Let $\phi\colon X \to X'$ be the blowing-up of the point p and E_4 the exceptional set, and let $Z' = \phi^* Z_0$ and $Z = Z' + E_4$. Then $Z_{K_X} = \phi_*^{-1} Z_{K_{X'}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{K_X}}(-Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Z_{K_X}}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is generated and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z_X}) = p_g$, Z is a p_g-cycle and I_Z is good by Proposition 4.2. However, $I_Z = I_{Z'}$.

In what follows, we prove (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) in the theorem.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that $(A,\mathfrak{m})$ is rational and that $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is minimal. Let D_1 and D_2 be effective cycles. Suppose that they have no common irreducible components and $D_1 \neq 0$. Then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1 - D_2)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(D_1 - D_2) \to \mathcal{O}_X(D_1) \to \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_1) \to 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_1)$ is nef on its support, $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_1)) = 0$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1)) \neq 0$. Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_1)) = 0$ (Wahl [20, (2.2)]), we have that

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_1)) = -\chi(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_1)) = (K_X D_1 - D_1^2)/2.$$

Since X is minimal and $D_1 \neq 0$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_1)) \neq 0$. Therefore $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D_1)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(D_1)) \geq 1$. □

Assume that A is a rational singularity. Let $Z > 0$ be an anti-nef cycle and $I = H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$. Let Q be a minimal reduction of I. From the Koszul complex associated with generators of Q (cf.(2.3)), we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \to \mathcal{O}_X^2 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \to 0. \tag{5.1}$$

This implies the exact sequence

$$0 \to Q \to I \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z)) \to 0. \tag{5.2}$$

The next lemma holds without rationality of the singularity.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $I^2 = QI$. Then*

$$\operatorname{core}(I) = Q^2 : I = (Q : I)I = (Q : I)Q.$$

Proof. By Goto–Shimoda [9], the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I) = \oplus_{n\geq 0} I^n t^n$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore it follows from Corollary 5.1.1 and Remark 5.1.2 of Hyry–Smith [10] that $\operatorname{core}(I) = Q^2 : I$. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i h_i \in (Q : I)I$, where $a_i \in Q : I$ and $h_i \in I$. Then $xI \subset \sum a_i I^2 = \sum a_i IQ \subset Q^2$. Thus $(Q : I)I \subset Q^2 : I$. Conversely assume that $x \in Q^2 : I$. Suppose that Q is generated by f and g. Since $\operatorname{core}(I) \subset Q$, there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $x = af + bg$. For any $h \in I$, there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in A$ such that $(af + bg)h = c_1 f^2 + c_2 fg + c_3 g^2$. Since f, g form a regular sequence, we have $ah - c_1 f \in (g)$ and $bh - c_3 g \in (f)$. Thus $ah, bh \in (f, g) = Q$. This shows that $a, b \in Q : I$. Hence $x = af + bg \in (Q : I)Q$. □

Proposition 5.7. *Assume that $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is rational and that $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is minimal. Let $Z > 0$ be an anti-nef cycle on X and $I = I_Z$. Then $I^2 = QI$ and $Q : I = I$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, it suffices to show that $Q : I \subset I$. Let $h \in Q : I$ and $Z_h = \operatorname{div}(f^*h) - f_*^{-1}(\operatorname{div}(h))$ (the exceptional part of the divisor of h on X). We have to show that $Z_h \geq Z$. To this end, we may assume that h is a general element of $Q : I$ so that $Q : I \subset I_{Z_h}$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(Z) \xrightarrow{\times h} \mathcal{O}_X(Z - Z_h) \to \mathcal{C} \to 0$$

obtained from (2.2), we obtain the surjective map

$$h_1\colon H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z)) \xrightarrow{\times h} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z - Z_h)).$$

We shall show that h_1 is trivial. Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_h)) = 0$, tensoring the exact sequence (5.1) with $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z_h)$ we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to QI_{Z_h} \to I_{Z+Z_h} \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(Z - Z_h)) \to 0.$$

Therefore we may regard h_1 as a homomorphism

$$h_1\colon I/Q \xrightarrow{\times h} I_{Z+Z_h}/QI_{Z_h}.$$

However it follows from Lemma 5.6 that $hI \subset (Q : I)Q \subset I_{Z_h}Q$. Hence the map h_1 should be trivial. By Lemma 5.5, we obtain that $Z - Z_h \leq 0$. □

6. Number of minimal generators of integrally closed ideals.

The aim of this section is to study the number of minimal set of generators for integrally closed ideals in A. In what follows, let M denote the maximal ideal cycle of a given resolution of singularities $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$; see [24, Definition 2.11].

Furthermore, we always assume that an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal $I = I_Z$ is represented by Z.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ and $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ be as above. Let $I = I_Z$ be an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and assume that $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-M)$. Then we have an inequality*

$$-MZ + 1 \geq \mu_A(I) \geq -MZ + 1 - p_g(A).$$

More precisely, we have

$$\ell_A(I/\overline{I\mathfrak{m}}) = -MZ + 1 - \varepsilon(Z, M)$$

and we have equality $\mu_A(I) = -MZ+1$ *if* $\overline{I\mathfrak{m}} = fI+g\mathfrak{m}$ *for general elements* $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ *and* $g \in I$.

Proof. In the proof, we write $h^1(-Z)$ instead of $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ for any anti-nef cycle Z on X. Note that $\mu_A(I) = \ell_A(I/I\mathfrak{m}) \geq \ell_A(I/\overline{I\mathfrak{m}})$ and $\overline{I\mathfrak{m}} = I_{M+Z}$. By Riemann-Roch formula 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_A(A/\overline{I\mathfrak{m}}) &= p_g(A) - h^1(-M-Z) - \frac{(M+Z)^2 + K_X(M+Z)}{2}, \\
\ell_A(A/I) &= p_g(A) - h^1(-Z) - \frac{Z^2 + K_X Z}{2}, \\
1 = \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) &= p_g(A) - h^1(-M) - \frac{M^2 + K_X M}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell_A(I/\overline{I\mathfrak{m}}) &= \ell_A(A/\overline{I\mathfrak{m}}) - \ell_A(A/I) - \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m}) + 1 \\
&= -MZ + 1 - \big\{p_g(A) - h^1(-M) - h^1(-Z) + h^1(-Z-M)\big\} \\
&= -MZ + 1 - \varepsilon(Z, M).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows from Proposition 2.6. □

Example 6.2. If I_Z or $\mathfrak{m}$ is a p_g-ideal, then $\mu_A(I_Z) = -MZ + 1$. Actually, we get the equalities $\overline{I\mathfrak{m}} = I\mathfrak{m} = fI_Z + g\mathfrak{m}$ by Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 6.3. *If* A *is a rational singularity and* I *is an integrally closed* $\mathfrak{m}$*-primary ideal, then* $\mu_A(I) = -MZ + 1$.

Example 6.4. Assume that the exceptional set of the minimal resolution of Spec A consists of one curve $E \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with $E^2 = -r$. Then $\mu_A(I)$ of integrally closed ideal I is of the form $\mu_A(I) = nr + 1$ for some positive integer n. If A is a simple elliptic singularity of $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) = r \geq 3$, then $\mu_A(I) = nr + 1$ or nr for every integrally closed ideal I. Actually, if $I = I_Z$ for some anti-nef cycle Z on X and if we denote $f : X \to X_0$, where X_0 is the minimal resolution, then $-MZ = -f_*(Z)E = nr$, where E is the unique elliptic curve on X_0.

Remark 6.5. In any two-dimensional normal local ring A, if $I' \subset I$ are integrally closed ideals in A, then $\mu_A(I') \geq \mu_A(I)$ holds true by [22, Theorems 3,5].

7. Ulrich ideals of minimally elliptic and simple elliptic singularities.

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with infinite residue field, and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of A and Q a minimal reduction of I. Then I is called an *Ulrich ideal* if $I^2 = QI$ and I/I^2 is A/I-free. When A is Gorenstein, I is an Ulrich ideal if and only if it is a good ideal and $\mu_A(I) = \dim A + 1$; see also subsection 2.5. Thus in the Gorenstein case, we can regard Ulrich ideals as typical example of good ideals. In [6, 7, 8], the last two authors classified all Ulrich ideals for simple singularities and two-dimensional rational singularities. So the following problem is natural.

Problem 7.1. Let A be a two-dimensional normal local ring. Classify all Ulrich ideals of A.

In this section, we will prove non-existence theorem for minimally elliptic singularities (namely, Gorenstein rings with $p_g(A) = 1$) with high multiplicity and we will complete solution for Problem 7.1 in the case of simple elliptic singularities. Note that in our case, Ulrich ideal I is a good ideal with $\mu_A(I) = 3$.

First, by Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 3.6, we have the following.

Proposition 7.2. *Let I be a good ideal and assume $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ is invertible with $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = p_g(A)$. Then I and I^2 are integrally closed.*

Remark 7.3. If $p_g(A) = 1$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 0$, then one of the following 2 cases occur; see (2.3):

(1) $I^2 = QI$.
(2) $\ell_A(I^2/QI) = 1$ and I^2 is integrally closed.

Let us recall some fundamental facts for minimally elliptic singularities. Let Z_f be the fundamental cycle and $e = -Z_f^2$. Then $Z_f = M = Z_{K_X}$ on the minimal resolution, $\mathcal{O}_X(-M)$ has no fixed component, $\mathcal{O}_X(-M)$ is generated (i.e., $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-M)$) if $e \geq 2$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{m}^n$ are integrally closed for all $n \geq 1$ if $e \geq 3$; see Laufer [13].

Lemma 7.4. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e \geq 3$. Then $M^2 + K_X M = 0$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-M)) = 0$.*

Proof. Let Q be a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. As A is Gorenstein with $e_0(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 3$, we must have $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq Q\mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{m}$ is not a p_g-ideal and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-M)) = 0$. Also by Riemann-Roch Theorem 2.8 for $1 = \ell_A(A/\mathfrak{m})$, we have $M^2 + K_X M = 0$. □

The following lemma plays an essential role.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a minimally elliptic singularity and let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal such that $\bar{I}$ is represented by some cycle Z on a resolution X of* Spec A *and assume that $I^2 = QI$. Then I is a good ideal if and only if one of the following cases occurs:*

(1) $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 1$, $K_X Z = 0$ *and I is integrally closed.*
(2) $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 0$ *and* $K_X Z = 2(1 + \ell_A(\bar{I}/I))$.

Proof. Since A is Gorenstein, I is good if and only if $I^2 = QI$ and $e_0(I) = 2 \cdot \ell_A(A/I)$. The result follows from Lemma 2.4 and Riemann-Roch Theorem 2.8. □

Next, let us discuss how far is an Ulrich ideal from integrally closed.

Lemma 7.6. *Let A be a minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e \geq 2$. If I is an Ulrich ideal of A, then $\ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \leq 1$.*

Proof. We saw a good ideal I is integrally closed if $\bar{I}$ is a p_g-ideal in Proposition 7.2. Hence we may assume that $\bar{I} = I_Z$ with $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 0$ on some resolution X. Now, by Theorem 6.1, $\mu(I_Z) \geq -MZ$, where M is the maximal ideal cycle on X. Now let X_0 be the minimal resolution of Spec A and $f : X \to X_0$ be the contraction.

Then we know that $M = f^*(M_0)$ and $M_0 = -K_{X_0}$, where M_0 is the maximal ideal cycle on X_0. Now, write

$$Z = f^*(f_*(Z)) + Y \quad \text{and} \quad K_X = f^*(K_{X_0}) + L.$$

Then $ZK_X = f_*(Z)K_{X_0} + YL$ and $YL = ZL \leq 0$ since $L \geq 0$ and Z is anti-nef. Moreover, $MZ = M_0 f_*(Z) = -K_{X_0} f_*(Z) \leq -K_X Z$. Thus we have

$$3 = \mu_A(I) \geq \mu_A(\bar{I}) - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \geq -MZ - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \geq K_X Z - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) = \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) + 2$$

and we get the desired inequality. □

Theorem 7.7. *Let A be a minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e \geq 2$.*

(1) *If $e \geq 5$, then A has no Ulrich ideals.*
(2) *If $e = 4$ and I is an Ulrich ideal with $\bar{I} = I_Z$, then $\ell_A(\bar{I}/I) = 1$ and $\overline{I}$ is represented on the minimal resolution X_0 and $-MZ = 4$, where M is the maximal ideal cycle on X.*
(3) *If A has an Ulrich ideal which is a p_g-ideal, then $e \leq 2$.*

Proof. Note that $e = -M^2 \leq -MZ$ for any anti-nef cycle Z. If I is Ulrich, then $\mu_A(I) = 3$ and putting $\bar{I} = I_Z$, $\mu_A(I_Z) \leq 4$. On the other hand, $\mu_A(I_Z) \geq -MZ \geq e$ and we have $e \leq 4$. If I_Z is an Ulrich p_g-ideal, then by Example 6.2, we have $3 = \mu_A(I) = -MZ + 1 \geq e + 1$.

If $e = 4$ and I is an Ulrich ideal with $\bar{I} = I_Z$, then $\ell_A(\bar{I}/I) = 1$ and $-MZ = 4$ since $\mu_A(I_Z) \geq -MZ \geq e$. Moreover, by Lemma 7.5, we have $K_X Z = 4$. Using the notation of Lemma 7.6, we have $ZL = 0$. Therefore $\bar{I}$ can be represented on the minimal resolution. □

Remark 7.8. Let A be a minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e = 4$. Then it is known that A is a complete intersection of codimension 2. Now, let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$ primary ideal generated by 3 elements among the minimal generating system of $\mathfrak{m}$ containing some minimal reduction Q of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then we can show that I is an Ulrich ideal. Let $E = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, where $f : X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$ is the blowing up of the maximal ideal. Now, consider the family of ideals I generated by 3 elements among the minimal generating system of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then the condition I contains a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$ is equivalent to say that the generators of I have no common zero on E as sections of a line bundle $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_X$. Hence the family of such ideals forms an open subset of $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$. If I is an Ulrich ideal, then $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ by Theorem 7.7.

Example 7.9. Let $A = k[[x, y, z, w]]/(y^2 - xz, w^3 - y(z - x))$ be a complete intersection, which is a minimally elliptic singularity of degree $e = 4$. Then the minimal resolution of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is a star-shaped graph with central curve $E_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with $E_0^2 = -2$ and 4 branches E_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ with $E_i^2 = -3$. Here, we have $M = 2E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i$. Let $Z = 3E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i$. Then $I_Z = (x, y, z, w^2)$ and $I = (x, y, z)$ is an Ulrich ideal with $\bar{I} = I_Z$.

In the following, let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a simple elliptic singularity of degree e (see Section 2). Let us classify all of the Ulrich ideals of those rings. Also, let I be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and Q its minimal reduction. We assume $I\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-Z)$ for some resolution X so that $\bar{I} = I_Z = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$ is the integral closure of I.

Now we state our classification.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a simple elliptic singularity of degree e. Then*:

(1) *If $e \geq 5$, then A has no Ulrich ideals.*
(2) *If $e = 4$ and I is an Ulrich ideal, then $\ell_A(A/I) = 2$ and $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$.*
(3) *If $e = 3$ and I is an Ulrich ideal, then $\ell_A(A/I) = 2$ and I is integrally closed. Such ideals consist a family parametrized by the elliptic curve E_0.*
(4) *If $e = 2$, then an Ulrich ideal I is one of the followings*;
   (a) $\mathfrak{m}$.
   (b) $I = \bar{I}$ *and* $\ell_A(A/I) = 2$.
   (c) $I = \bar{I}$ *and* $\ell_A(A/I) = 3$.
   (d) $\bar{I} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^2}$ *and* $\ell_A(A/I) = 4$.

   There are 4 *ideals of type* (c)*, and the ideals of type* (b) *is parametrized by* $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{4$ *points*$\}$.
(5) *If $e = 1$, then A has integrally closed Ulrich ideals with $\ell_A(A/I) = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The ones with $\ell_A(A/I) = 2$ (resp. $\ell_A(A/I) = 3$) are parametrized by E_0 (resp. $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{3$ points$\}$) and there are exactly 3 Ulrich ideals with $\ell_A(A/I) = 4$.*

Incidentally, A is not a hypersurface or complete intersection if and only if $e \geq 5$. This raises the following Question.

Question 7.11. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be Gorenstein normal local ring of dimension ≥ 2. Up to now, if A has an Ulrich ideal, then A is a complete intersection. Is A a complete intersection if it has an Ulrich ideal?

Proof of Theorem 7.10. The cases with $e \geq 4$ are treated already in Theorem 7.7. So we may assume that $e \leq 3$. In the following, let I be an Ulrich ideal of A with $\bar{I} = I_Z$ for some anti-nef cycle Z on some resolution $X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$. Let X_0 be the minimal resolution with exceptional set E_0 and let $f\colon X \to X_0$ be the contraction. Suppose that $f_*(Z) = nE_0$.

(3) If $e = 3$, and $I = I_Z$ is a p_g-ideal, then by Example 6.2, $\mu_A(I) \geq 4$. Hence, we may assume I_Z is not a p_g-ideal. If $n \geq 2$, then $\mu_A(I_Z) \geq 6$ by Theorem 6.1 and thus by Lemma 7.6, $\mu_A(I) \geq 5$. Hence $n = 1$ and $K_X Z \leq K_{X_0} E_0 = 3$. By Lemma 7.5, we have $K_X Z = 2$ and I is integrally closed. Since $K_X Z = 2$, we need exactly one blowing-up from X_0 and hence we may assume that f is the blowing up of a point P on E_0 and $Z = f^*(E_0) + E$, where $E = f^{-1}(P)$. In this case, $\ell_A(A/I_Z) = 2$. Such I is determined by $P \in E_0$.

Now let us show that such $I = I_Z$ is actually an Ulrich ideal. Since $\ell_A(A/I) = 2$ and $e_0(I) = -Z^2 = 4$, we have only to show that $I^2 = QI$ for a reduction Q of I. For that purpose, we need to show that I^2 is *not* integrally closed; see Remark 7.3.

Now, by Riemann-Roch Theorem 2.8, we get $\ell_A(A/\overline{I^2}) = 7$. On the other hand, since I is generated by $\mathfrak{m}^2$ and 2 linear forms, $\ell_A(A/(I^2+\mathfrak{m}^3)) = 7$. Hence if $I^2 = \overline{I^2}$, then $I^2 + \mathfrak{m}^3 = I^2$, or $I^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3$. This contradicts the fact $3f^*(E_0) \not\geq 2Z$.

(4) Next, assume $e = 2$. If $\bar{I} = I_Z$ is a p_g-ideal, then by Lemma 7.5, we have $I_Z = \bar{I} = I$ and $K_X Z = 0$. Also $\mu_A(I) \geq 2n + 1$ and hence $n = 1$. Then the cases (b), (c) of the theorem occur. Actually, we know that $\hat{A} \cong k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 - \phi(y, z))$, where $\phi(y, z)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in (y, z). Take any linear form $l \in I$. We may assume that l is a form of y and z. If l is not a factor of ϕ, then the line $l = 0$ intersects with E_0 in 2 points P_1, P_2. Let $f : X \to X_0$ be the

blowing up of these 2 points, let $E_i = f^{-1}(P_i)$. and $Z = f^*(E_0) + E_1 + E_2$, then we get the case (b). If l is a factor of ϕ, then $l = 0$ intersects E_0 at a point P with multiplicity 2. Let $f : X \to X_0$ be the blowing up of P, let $E_i = f^{-1}(P)$ and $Z = f^*(E_0) + 2E$, then we get the case (c). The ideal is $I = (l, x, (y, z)^2)$ in case (b) and $I = (l, x, (y, z)^3)$ in case (c).

Next assume that $\bar{I} = I_Z$ is not a p_g-ideal. By Lemma 7.5, we have $K_X Z = 2(1 + \ell_A(\bar{I}/I))$. Also, Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 7.6 imply that

$$K_X Z \leq 2n = -MZ \leq \mu_A(I_Z) \leq \mu_A(I) + \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \leq 4.$$

Hence $n \leq 2$ and $K_X Z \leq 2n$. It turns out that we have $K_X Z = 2n$ in case $n = 1, 2$ and we get the cases (a),(d).

In the case $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^4 + z^4)$, the Ulrich ideals are calculated in Example 7.14 using the theory of simple singularities.

(5) Finally let us treat the case $e = 1$. In this case, $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ has a base point and let X_1 be the blowing up of the base point. We choose X_1 as starting point. The exceptional set of X_1 is $E_0 \cup E_1$, where E_0 is an elliptic curve with $E_0^2 = -2, E_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with $E_1^2 = -1$ and $E_0 E_1 = 1$. Here, $\mathfrak{m}$ is defined by $M = E_0 + 2E_1$. Note that $K_{X_1} M = 0$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a p_g-ideal.

Let I be an Ulrich ideal of A with $\bar{I} = I_Z$, $f : X \to X_1$ be contraction and we put $f_*(Z) = aE_0 + bE_1$. Hence $-Mf_*(Z) = b$ and $K_{X_1} f_*(Z) = 2a - b$. Since $f_*(Z)$ is anti-nef, $a \leq b$.

First assume that I_Z is a p_g-ideal. Then from $\mu(I) = 3$, we get $b = 2$. Here if $a = 1$, $K_{X_1} f_*(Z) = 0$ and $Z = M, I = \mathfrak{m}$. If $a = 2$, we get the ones with $\ell_A(A/I) = 3, 4$.

Actually, we can assume $\hat{A} \cong k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 - \phi(y, z^2))$, where ϕ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 with no multiple roots. Since $a \geq 2$, I is contained in (x, y, z^2). Take any linear form $l \in I$. We may assume that l is a form of y and z^2. If l is not a factor of ϕ, then $l = 0$ defines 2 points P_1, P_2 on E_0. Let $f : X \to X_1$ be the blowing up of these 2 points and put $F_i = f^{-1}(P_i)$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Then putting $Z = f^*(2E_0 + 2E_1) + F_1 + F_2$, we get $K_X Z = 0$ and I_Z is an Ulrich ideal of $\ell_A(A/I_Z) = 3$. If l is one of 3 factors of ϕ, then $l = 0$ intersects E_0 at a point P with multiplicity 2. Let $f : X \to X_1$ be the blowing up of P and put $F = f^{-1}(P)$. Then putting $Z = f^*(2E_0 + 2E_1) + 2F$, we get $K_X Z = 0$ and I_Z is an Ulrich ideal of $\ell_A(A/I_Z) = 4$.

Next assume that $h^1(-Z) = 0$ with $f_*(Z) = aE_0 + bE_1$. Then by Example 6.2, $\ell_A(\bar{I}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}I}) = -MZ + 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 3 = \mu_A(I) &\geq -MZ + 1 - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \\ &= -M_{X_1} f_*(Z) + 1 - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \\ &= (K_{X_1} - 2E_1) f_*(Z) + 1 - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \\ &\geq K_X Z + 1 - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \\ &= 2(1 + \ell_A(\bar{I}/I)) + 1 - \ell_A(\bar{I}/I) \\ &= 3 + \ell_A(\bar{I}/I). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\bar{I} = I$. Then by Lemma 7.5, we must have $K_X Z = 2$. On the other hand, $2 = K_X Z \leq K_{X_1} f_*(Z) = 2a - b$ and $3 = \mu_A(I) \geq -MZ + 1 = b + 1$. Hence we must have $a = b = 2$, $I = (x, y, z^2)$.

In the case $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^6)$, the Ulrich ideals are calculated in Example 7.13 using the theory of simple singularities. $\square$

Example 7.12. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be the local ring of the vertex of the cone over smooth cubic curve $E_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2_k$. Then A is a simple elliptic singularity of degree $e = 3$. The minimal resolution X_0 of A is obtained by blowing-up of the maximal ideal and the exceptional set is E_0 with $E_0^2 = -3$. Take a line $l = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^2_k$ intersecting with E_0 at 3 distinct points P_1, P_2, P_3. Let $\pi : X \to X_0$ be obtained by blowing-up these 3 points. We denote $E_i = \pi^{-1}(P_i)$ the corresponding exceptional curve $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and we denote E_0 the elliptic curve. Put $Z = E_0 + 2(E_1 + E_2 + E_3)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(-Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E_0}$ and actually, we get $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-Z)) = 1$. If we put $I = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Z))$, then I is generated by $\mathfrak{m}^2$ and the linear form l and I is a good ideal with $e(I) = 6$ and $\ell_A(A/I) = 3$. Since $\mu_A(I) = 4$, I is not an Ulrich ideal.

Example 7.13. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^3 + z^6)$ be a hypersurface, which is a simple elliptic singularity of degree $e = 1$. Then

(1) $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$ is an Ulrich ideal of colength 1 with minimal reduction $Q = (y, z)$.
(2) $I = (x, y, z^2)$ is an Ulrich ideal of colength 2 with minimal reduction $Q = (y, z^2)$.
(3) For any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$, $(x, y + \varepsilon z^2, z^3)$ is an Ulrich ideal of colength 3 with minimal reduction $Q = (y + \varepsilon z^2, z^3)$.
(4) For an $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $(x, y + \varepsilon z^2, z^4)$ is an Ulrich ideal of colength 4 if and only if $\varepsilon^3 = 1$. Then $Q = (y + \varepsilon z^2, z^4)$ gives a minimal reduction.

Example 7.14. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^4 + z^4)$ be a hypersurface, which is a simple elliptic singularity of degree $e = 2$.

(1) $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z)$ is an Ulrich ideal of colength 1 with minimal reduction $Q = (y, z)$.
(2) If we put $I = (x, y + \varepsilon z, z^2)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$, then I is an Ulrich ideal of colength 2 with minimal reduction $Q = (y + \varepsilon z, z^2)$.
(3) If we put $I = (x, y + \varepsilon z, z^3)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\varepsilon^4 = -1$, then I is an Ulrich ideal of colength 3 with minimal reduction $Q = (y + \varepsilon z, z^3)$.
(4) $I = (x, y^2, z^2)$, $(x, y^2 \pm z^2, yz)$ are Ulrich ideals of $\ell_A(A/I) = 4$ and $\overline{I} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^2} = (x, y^2, yz, z^2)$.

We know that any diagonal hypersurface admits an Ulrich ideal if some exponent is an even number. How about the case that all exponents are odd numbers?

Example 7.15. Let $A = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^{2n-1} + y^{2n-1} + z^{2n-1})$ be a hypersurface with $p_g(A) = \binom{2n-1}{3}$, where $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then A has an Ulrich ideal $I = (x + y + y^2 + \cdots + y^{n-1}, y^n, z)$. Actually, if we put $Q = (x + y + y^2 + \cdots + y^{n-1} + y^n, z)$, then it is a minimal reduction of I such that $I^2 = QI$ and $\ell_A(A/Q) = 2 \cdot \ell_A(A/I) = 2n$.

Note that if $n = 2$, then A is a simple elliptic singularity of degree $e = 3$.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Shiro Goto for valuable discussions on good ideals and the number of generators of integrally closed ideals.

References

[1] M. Artin, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966) 129–136.
[2] A. Corso, C. Polini and B. Ulrich, *Core and residual intersection of ideals*, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc. **354**, (2002), 2579–2594.
[3] S.D. Cutkosky, A new characterization of rational surface singularities Inventiones math. **102** (1990), 157-177.
[4] J. Giraud, *Improvement of Grauert-Riemenschneider's Theorem for a normal surface*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **32** (1982),13–23.
[5] S. Goto, S. Iai, and K.-i. Watanabe, *Good ideals in Gorenstein local rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353** (2000), 2309–2346.
[6] S.Goto, K.Ozeki, R.Takahashi, K.-i.Watanabe, K.Yoshida, *Ulrich ideals and modules*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **156** (2014), 137–166.
[7] S.Goto, K.Ozeki, R.Takahashi, K.-i.Watanabe, K.Yoshida, *Ulrich ideals and modules over two-dimensional rational singularities*, submitted.
[8] S.Goto, K.Ozeki, R.Takahashi, K.-i.Watanabe, K.Yoshida, *Ulrich ideals and modules for simple singularities*, in preparation.
[9] S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, *On the Rees algebras of Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Commutative algebra (Fairfax, Va., 1979), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 68, Dekker, New York, 1982, pp. 201–231. MR 655805 (84a:13021)
[10] E. Hyry and K. E. Smith, *On a non-vanishing conjecture of Kawamata and the core of an ideal*, Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), no. 6, 1349–1410.
[11] M. Kato, *Riemann-Roch theorem for strongly pseudoconvex manifolds of dimension 2*, Math. Ann. **222**, (1976), 243–250.
[12] H.Laufer, *On rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **94**, (1972), 31–62.
[13] H.Laufer, *On minimally elliptic singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **99**, (1975), 1257–1295.
[14] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **36** (1969), 195–279.
[15] M. Morales, *Calcul des quelques invariants des singularités de surface normale*, Enseign. Math. **31**, (1983), 191–203.
[16] T. Okuma, *Numerical Gorenstein elliptic singularities*, Math. Z., **249**, (2005), 31–62.
[17] H. Pinkham, *Normal surface singularities with C^* action*, Math. Ann. **227** (1977), 183–193.
[18] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol.**3**, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 3–159.
[19] A. Röhr, *A vanishing theorem for fiber bundles on resolution of surface singularities*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **65**, (1995), 215–223.
[20] J. Wahl, *Vanishing Theorems for Resolutions of Surface Singularities*, lnventiones math. **31** (1975), 17–41.
[21] J. Wahl, *A characteristic number for links of surface singularities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**, (1990), 625–637.
[22] J. Watanabe, *m-full ideals*, Nagoya Math. J. **106** (1987), 101–111.
[23] K.-i.Watanabe and K.Yoshida, *Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, McKay correspondence and good ideals in two-dimensional rational singularities*, manuscripta math. **104** (2001), 275–294.
[24] S.S.-T. Yau, *On maximally elliptic singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **257** (1980), 269–329.

(Tomohiro Okuma) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, Yamagata, 990-8560, Japan.
E-mail address: okuma@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

(Kei-ichi Watanabe) Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
E-mail address: watanabe@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

(Ken-ichi Yoshida) Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
E-mail address: yoshida@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp